

3 11

c. f. 100

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR [C.G.]

Writ Petition No. 3118 /04

PETITIONER : Manoj Naik S/o Late Narsingh
Lal Naik, Aged- About 24
Years, R/o North Avenue, A/9,
Rajeev nagar, Raipur [C.G.]

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State Of Chhattisgarh
Through- Secretary
Public Works Department
DKS Bhawan, Raipur(C.G.)
2. Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department
Raipur [C.G.]
3. Executive Engineer
Public Works Department
Division no. 2, Raipur [C.G.]
4. Union Of India
Through- Director,
Personnel training,
Public grievances & Pension (State
Reorganisation Cell)
Lok Nayak Bhawan, Khan Market,
New Delhi.

P.R. No. 3112/24
Presented by Shri. Dhansham Patel
dated. 8-9-24

RECEIVED COPY
8.9.24
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3118 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	30.3.2005 Shri Dhaniram Patel counsel for the petitioner. Shri U.N.S.Deo, Government Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1, 2 & 3. None for respondent No.4 (Union of India). On the earlier occasions, this Court had passed orders in relation to admission of the petition. Reply etc. was also to be filed, but the same has not been filed till today. The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner's father was working in the respondent department as a Sub-Engineer, who died on 9.10.2002 during the course of his employment. Thereafter, the petitioner applied for compassionate appointment but his application has not been considered so far. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application has been filed in the office of Superintending Engineer, P.W.D., Raipur. A copy of the application has also been annexed with this petition. Since the death has taken place after coming into force of M.P. Reorganization Act, 2000, therefore, the Central Government is an unnecessary party in this case. Presently, Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent authorities may be directed to decide the case of compassionate appointment of the petitioner within the stipulated period, granted by this Court on a representation, being filed before them.	

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3118 सन् 2004

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel. The same is allowed. It is hereby directed that the petitioner shall file a representation along with copy of this writ petition and also with a copy of this order within a period of 15 days from today before the Superintending Engineer concerned and if such a representation is filed, the Superintending Engineer shall consider the case of the petitioner and decide his representation by a reasoned order as early as possible, preferably within a period of 6 weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the said representation. The petition stands finally disposed of at this stage. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge

sunita

(पीछे देखिये)

1.4.05

26.5.05